

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/804,621		03/12/2001	Serge Willenegger	QCPA363DIVC1	3167
23696	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
Qualcomm	•	rated	WILSON, ROBERT W		
	Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive				PAPER NUMBER
San Diego,		-	2661	<u> </u>	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ω_{ν}			
ı	Application No.	Applicant(s)	AIL			
	09/804,621	WILLENEGGER I	ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert W Wilson	2661	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be period for reply will be stated to the period for reply	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	2 March 2001.					
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-20</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 20 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 8-13 and 15-20 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage			
See the attached detailed Office action for a	iist of the certified copies no	rieceiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/12/01. 		Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/804,621 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

1.0 The application of Willenegger et. al. entitled SUBCHANNEL CONTROL LOOP which was filed on 3/12/01 which claims priority based upon being a CON of 09/353,895 dated 7/15/99 which is a DIV of 08/800,734 which has matured into patent 5,991,284 with filing date of 2/13/97. Claims 7-20 are pending.

Double Patenting

2.0 Claims 7 & 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,240,071. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Referring to Claim 7, Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No.: 6,240,071 teaches all of the limitations of Claim 7 but does not expressly call for: adjusting the transmit power of at least one of said plurality of subchannel signals in accordance with a type of data communication via the corresponding one of said subchannel signals but instead teaches adjusting the power in a subchannel based upon the quality measurement of the signal in the subchannel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that adjusting the power in a subchannel based upon the quality measurement of the signal in the subchannel performs the same function as adjusting the transmit power of at least one of said plurality of subchannel signals in accordance with the type of data communication via the corresponding one said subchannel signal.

Referring to Claim 14, Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No.: 6,240,071 teaches all of the limitations of Claim 14 but does not expressly call for: adjusting the transmit power of at least one of said plurality of subchannel signals in accordance with a type of data communication via the corresponding one of said subchannel signals but instead teaches adjusting the power in a subchannel based upon the quality measurement of the signal in the subchannel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that adjusting the power in a subchannel based upon the quality measurement of the signal in the subchannel performs the same function as adjusting the transmit power of at least one of said

plurality of subchannel signals in accordance with the type of data communication via the corresponding one said subchannel signal.

Claim Objections

3.0 Claims 8-13 & 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4.0 It should be noted that the closest prior art is U.S. Patent No.: 6,240,071 to the limitations to the claimed invention; however, the application was filed after 11/29/99 and was copending with this the application for U.S. Patent No.: 6,240,071 and in addition was owned by the same assignee on the date that this application was filed consequently a 103 (a) rejection is inappropriate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4102. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Cobert W. W. Som

Page 4

Robert W Wilson

Examiner Art Unit 2661

RWW

September 7, 2004

DANG TON

PRIMARY EVANCE